

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,674	05/15/2001	Kazuhiro Nojima	1900/00025	1148
75	90 04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP			LI, SHI K	
Suite 800 1990 M Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	09/854,674	NOJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shi K. Li	2633	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N batute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 December 2004.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	S			
closed in accordance with the practice und		•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(o	d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	_ Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/854,674

Art Unit: 2633

الا احد

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admission (admitted prior art) in view of Heismann et al. (F. Heismann et al., "Signal Tracking and Performance Monitoring in Multi-Wavelength Optical Networks", 22nd European Conference on Optical Communication ECOC ' 96, 1996).

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 4, FIG. 3 of instant application discloses a prior art multichannel video optical transmission system comprising optical transmitter 12, optical fiber 14 and
optical receiver 13. The optical transmitter comprises pilot signal generating means 11,
frequency modulator 2 and electrical-optical converting means 3. The optical receiver comprises
optical-electrical converting means 4, amplifier 5 and frequency demodulating means 6. The
difference between admission and the claimed invention is that admission does not teach a
frequency modulation function in the pilot signal generating means. Heismann et al. teaches in
FIG. 3 a transmitter with a pilot tone generating unit having FSK modulation function. One of
ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Heismann et al.
with the video optical transmission system of admission because additional supervisory
information can be carried by the FSK modulated pilot tone. Thus it would have been obvious to
one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a FSK modulation

Art Unit: 2633

function in the pilot signal generating means, as taught by Heismann et al., in the video optical transmission system of admission because additional supervisory information can be carried by the FSK modulated pilot tone.

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 6, FIG. 3 of instant application discloses a prior art multichannel video optical transmission system comprising optical transmitter 12, optical fiber 14 and
optical receiver 13. The optical transmitter comprises pilot signal generating means 11, and
electrical-optical converting means 3. The optical receiver comprises optical-electrical
converting means 4 and amplifier 5. The difference between admission and the claimed
invention is that admission does not teach a frequency modulation function in the pilot signal
generating means. Heismann et al. teaches in FIG. 3 a transmitter with a pilot tone generating
unit having FSK modulation function. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been
motivated to combine the teaching of Heismann et al. with the video optical transmission system
of admission because additional supervisory information can be carried by the FSK modulated
pilot tone. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to include a FSK modulation function in the pilot signal generating means,
as taught by Heismann et al., in the video optical transmission system of admission because
additional supervisory information can be carried by the FSK modulated pilot tone.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 8 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the inclination

Application/Control Number: 09/854,674

Art Unit: 2633

angle of the strips and/or interval between consecutive stripes are varied at a speed so that stripes are invisible to the naked eye) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shi K. Li whose telephone number is 571 272-3031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

skl 8 April 2005

JASON CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**